Previous references.

1929.

File No. 764-29

Serial Nos. 1512, 15-17

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 15

Part B for October 22

SUBJECT

Affairs of the Sri Bhavaji Someswaraswami temple in Chickpet Bangalore City

Printed on the Multigraph

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Mug)

NOTES.

File No 76-19

FILE HEADING.

Affairs of the Sri Manju Conservancy Club Pet, Bangalore City

From

The Dy: Comr, Bangalore Dt

No. No. 867 file 19 Dated 24-6-20 Received 3-7-20

Diary No. 26	Enclos 1	Spare Copies.	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Forwards a copy of his inspection note on the Sri Manju Club Pet and states that action is being taken to remove the encroachments in front of the Club, and the Committee have also agreed to remove the steps

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The previous file on the subject Mug 527-18 is put up for perusal.

A complaint having reached Govt Cobble through a petn & a local newspaper that the Sri

NOTES—First Sheet.

GPB—20,000—8-8 11

T. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mug File No. 764 of 1919.

Serial No.

was unsatisfactory, the Dy: Comr: was requested in this office letter no. 2924/Mug 527-18-1 & 24-3-19 to take very early action in the matter under sec: 8 of the Mug: Regn. Papers relating to the enquiry instituted by the Mugrai Supdt. in the matter and a file of over-papers were sent to the Dy: Comr: for reference and return. These have not been returned.

3 The Dy: Comr: states now that after receipt of the Architect's report in the matter he inspected the temple on 18.6.20 and also heard the concerned parties.

4 The report deals with three points:-

1) Encroachment on the temple premises by the neighbours.

With regard to this he says that as the encroachment cannot be proved, no action can be taken with regard to it, and that steps will be taken to prevent further encroachment.

2) The present condition of the temple building.

With regard to this, it is stated that the building needs no repairs and that the Manager Mr Mannaraiya has been requ-

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

(R. S. III-2)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mug File No. 764 of 1919.

Serial No.

to attend to the small repairs necessary to the compound wall and the small room near the gate and report after completion.

3) Conduct of worship in the temple.

The temple is stated to be getting Rs 10/- from the Coorg Royal family and Rs 10/- from Mr. Mamaraiya and that these amounts are being utilized for Paditara and Deeparadhane in the temple. It is also stated that worship is being conducted regularly. It is suggested to associate two respectable residents of the locality with the manager in the management, as Dharmadarsis.

Subd for orders as to whether the papers forwarded from here may be recalled. ~~And~~ The Builder's report may also be sent for.

8d 10.7.20 There was a mass of correspondence on this subject and I ven: papers sent to the Dy Com: have not been received back. How the Coorg Royal family came to interest themselves in the temple and what

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Any File No. 764 of 1915.

Serial No.

has been pursuing and
part Mamaraya & the Plaintiff in conn.
with a Mut: Suit No. are matters for
further enquiry.

8 may the papers sent to the Dy Com.
and the needs of enquiry, if any,
ordered by the Dy Com. be called
for.?

RG
10.7.20

9 Miss Temple does not
seem to have endorsed on the Army Cash.
or Land Mam. to answer under
at a Munsif Suit No. 7.

10 If it would, the Temple is
evidently a private Suit
in which the Coorg Royal
family is primarily
interested — Any machinery
to manage the Temple
affairs will have to be taken
after obtaining the orders of the
Coorg Royal Family

11 The Hon Records
perusing Correspondence

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Jmz File No. 764 of 1919.

Serial No.

May throw some light—

1 ✓ In calling for the Ver Record the D.C. may be asked to report whether the Temple is a Mutt. Instn. & if so which it is not, whether it is not necessary to ascertain the wishes of the Govt & Royal Family—

Allt 22/7/20

To Secy

2 To U/ 27/7/20

Secy Allt 27.7.20

4 Done

15 Done Allt 31.7.20

2. To, D.C. Bangalore No. 486 dt. 6.8.20. Calls for further information by letter with enclosures. Received the 10th

3 From, the D/ Commissioner Civil & Military Station Letter No 8 dt. 13.8

NOTE—Contn. sheet

R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Inam File No. 764 of 1919.

Serial No.

Reports that the temple in question is a Inam. Instn. enjoying cash grant from Govt., and that as the Coorg Royal family are keeping up the services in the Instn by all grants, they have been consulted in the matter of nominations to the Dharmadarshi and submits the views received hitherto in the case.

4 From, Rao Bahadur Kamaraiah, for Rani Rajammaji Ratnamaji of Coorg Royal family., Petition dt 10.9.20.

Makes further representations in the matter.

1 The temple of Sri Manji Somewara Swami is a Inam. Instn. endowed with an annual cash grant of Rs 11-0-0 in addition to inam lands measuring 12-36 acres and assessed at Rs 21-8-0 in Javarekere Village, Agara Hobli of the Bangalore

2 In para 2 of his present the Dy. Comr reports that the Coorg Royal family have been consulted in the matter of nominating Dharmadarshi as mentioned in para 5 of his note of Inspection dt 24-6-19 &c. In the note of

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. of 19 19

Serial No.

Inspection dy 24.6.1920, there is no ref. to Dharmadarshi in para 5, while in para 8 thereof, the Dy. Commr states that the Coorg Royal family will be consulted as to who may be nominated as Dharmadarshi, and that further action will be taken after their views are ascertained.

In his petition dy 8-9-1920, Smt. Nanjamma prays that the management of the Mutt may be left undisturbed, and is not in favour of Dharmadarshi being up to supervise its affairs. He also states that in the year 1892, a new Kalasam was installed, but on the complaint of the Royal family, it was ordered to be removed by Govt. He encloses copies of said orders — Subject.

As regards the previous references on the subject it is ascertained that these papers were sent to the Mr. Mundiff, Bangalore, under cy. Docket no. R.C. 90 dy 2-9-1919 (vide Routine note attached).

Submitted for orders.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. of 19

Serial No.

~~The Dr reports that~~

1) The Dy Com^rs present report ~~that~~ that the Coorg Royal family have been consulted in the matter of nominating Dharmadarsees to suit. Consistent with what he has stated in para 8 of the Inspection note -

2) Further the Dr does not specifically state that the nomination of the Dharmadarsees has been agreed to - by the Royal fc - by Coorg, On the other hand ~~this~~ Mr. Mancunaiah does not evidently want any Dharmadarsees -

3) The Dr's case after his may be drawn ~~to~~ to this point, Mr Mancunaiah's pet^n ~~may also~~ be forwarded ~~Decision~~. & the Dr does not ~~he~~ ~~asked~~ to send the ~~Ex~~ Statement of the Valuation

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

D. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. | of 19 15

Serial No.

5 in the pet.n.

VB The Munsiff's Court Bangalore May be asked to return the records sent in ~~1690~~ 1919 — For drafts Asabme

Attn
22/10/20

24 Yes Withdrawn

Dft's Counsel

Mr. [illegible]

Sd
8/11/20

V. Issue

Attn
8/11/20

5. To by. Commr. Bangalore Dt. No 1690 D. 15.11.20.

Reg the Petn. & Calls for Returns of the records Connected with the Kalasa Suit decided by his predecessor together with his opinion & Copy of the Judgment &c. Recommended by Government

To, Munsiff. Birur No 1691 D. 15/11/20

6 Requests to return the entire file of records herein with No. R.C.90 A.S.5 1915

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Revenue File No. 164 of 19

Serial No.

7

Dy. Comm. Rae 1st Interview. Bare particulars letter no. 6732 dt 12-11-20.

Returns the file of recent Convictions from Hindu temple in Chief Court, Bareilly Civil Station.

To the Dy. Comm. reports Collector in Sl &c; may be awaited.

Submitted

22-11-20. BG 22/11/20

To

I went to the Hindu temple on 7 Dec 1920 without notice to any person. Though it was Dwadashi day, the temple had not been opened and puja performed till 12 noon. The window in the Monthly Record was located on the Verandah just near the entrance to the temple.

10/12/20

To Head Assistant

11-12-20

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Inw File No. 761 of 19 19

Serial No.

8. From, the Dy. Comr Bangalore Dt. 28, Letter no 867/18-19 Dt 17. 2. 1919. Submits further report called for vide Sl. 5.

30 The Dy. Comr reports that the records connected with the removal of Kaveri Kalyanamma Kala Sane from the temple premises with the (15 Rs) Communication under Cy 1984 are not Traceable.

(not available in the Records)

3) As regards the appointment of Dharmadarsi., the reports that a Coorg Royal family was requested to select a respectable person in the locality for being appointed as Dharmadarsi, but no reply has been received. He further reports that that the temple being a mujrai one, it is necessary to appoint Dharmadarsis to supervise the affairs and that the choice of selecting a Dharmadarsi for proper is vested in the Managers but Mahanirvana is proposed to be given to the Coorg Royal family, but as a matter of right it

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

12

1713

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mug File No. 764 of 19 24.

Serial No.

the family being interested in the wish, but that the appointment of Dharmadar Das could not affect its respectability, but will lead to a better management of the wish

Submitted for orders. May the Secretary be asked to put up the file of papers connected with major Macaulay's order of 1861 removing Sri Kanniks Babu and appointing

14.2.1921

Mr. 14.2.21

(1) Papers to be connected with this case, Royal Family with their Temples, what right has Mahamaya to interfere in the Temple affairs.

(2) There is any document which could be produced to show that Mahamaya is the hereditary Trustee of the Temple

Notes

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Inwd File No. 764 of 19 4

Serial No.

the available Records and put up a complete report in duplicate 1) What is the income of the temple and what is its present state of expenditure

11/3/47

Chitty

2) Rani Rajammaji Ratnammaji states that her ancestors with a view to construct a Siva temple, brought a Sri Sivalinga from Kasi, and installed it at great expense in the temple constructed on a portion of the ~~house~~ vacant land attached to her BAKShi house, in chikpet, and have been spending much money ~~money~~ for Devatarchane, Aradhane etc, and that the temple is intended for their private use and not for the benefit of the public. She further represents that some (Bhattacharya) Brahmadaya Jagir lands belonging to her BAKShi ~~is~~ has been made over to the Inam, and that godilaru Mannanaik of Cavan

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2)

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Mus File No. 764 of 19 19

Serial No.

(holding Power of attorney
is her Agent managing the affairs
of the inst^n. The Dy. Commr also
reports that the Coorg Rajas family
are keeping up the services in the
inst^n by a liberal grant (vide Sl 3)
and are interested in the inst^n.

The temple is endowed with inam lands
and if Circle inam register extract
will be called for from the Inam
Supdt to ascertain the truth of
the petr's allegations.

As regards the house in the temp.
Compound, and the scale of inams
and the existing expr~~s~~ 1
information is available in
Records.

Submitted
M 5.3.1921. 3/3/21

The Inam Register -
(Copies -) may be drawn for reference

5.3.21.

Noted) H. Yo

B. for information please
& return a fortnight

M 5/3/21

5.3.

C.V.C.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

1713

15

G. R. S. III-2)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Inam File No. 764 of 19 21

Serial No. 3

Dfts. Submitted

10.3.21. 10/3/21

Dfts

Allt 14-3-21

10

To, Inam Supdt. in Mysore

No. 3235 dt 15.3.1921

Requests to forward copies of Inam Register pertaining to the settlement of the lands in question in the Tavarekatte village

9

To, [illegible]

[illegible]

10 11

From, the Inam Supdt. in Mysore,

Letter no. 237 dt 28.3

Submits inam extract pertaining to the inam lands of Sri Ranji Someshwara Swami temple.

It will be seen from the inam register that the lands were personal inam which were purchased by

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

R. S. III-2]

2017

General and Revenue Secretariat. Inam File No. 764 of 1919

Serial No.

Bhagavanta Sri Gosain in 1852 who made a gift of the land to the instn. At the time of the Inam Settlement of 1875, this personal inam was registered as Devadaya for the benefit of the temple, Sirist. rent being imposed on it.

The Dy. Commrs. further report called for in Sl. 9 may be awaited.

Srikanth
30.3.21 30/3/21

Addl 2-4-21

Dy Secy)

Who was Bhagavanta Sri Gosain? Presumably he was quite unconnected with the Coorg Royal family. What are the lands (inam) of the Coorg family? Send a reminder to the Forest Deptt. Reminders shd be sent

Addl to rmbs 2/4/21

Addl 2-4-21

Read 5/4

5

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Temple

Irrig File No. 764 of 19 19

Serial No.

There is no information as to who Bhagavanta Sri Sosaki referred to in the Grant settlement was, and how he was connected with the institution.

The Dy. Commr reports that the temple is said to have been built by one of the members of the coorg Royal family.

A Office report to the Dy Commr is submitted

5-4-21 Sd/ 5/4/21 Illegible

6-4-21

NOTE.—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Management of the
Manja Samasthana Estate
Trouble at Chelpet, Bangalore

In this case, the Secretary
has called the Sub-Registrar
of a clear note for his
guidance

The main contention of
the petitioners Coorg Royal
family is that Temple -
endowed with cash grant
by Tipu, viz., the Temple
is Sri Lingeswara Swami
Private one, from time immemorial
Palaru Mutt Sirsi Taluk
Uttara Kanara District
Mysore Province
Mysore Pradesh

How can the institution be a private one when it is endowed with Cash grant?

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

G. R S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes requisitions, etc, not intended for permanent rec

late Lewin Sir K Sheshachi Iyer,
was aware that the
couple in their female
property [illegible]
[illegible] but the Kailasam
has subsequently published
the Ex, Commr, has
reported to Govt that the
Nadars, state, that the
Nayars & the had recently
the Com. decree & the
Govt records, has, kindly
be asked to place at
my disposal for reference
which [illegible] case of
[illegible] orders you note will be
[illegible] IT Secretariat for orders

17

The Vernacular Records may be
asked to purchase these papers.

A B—Should remarks not be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

W D 1850—GPB—[illegible] 50,000—25-21

17-8-21 Weekly No. 17-8-21

P. J.

3411

20.

G. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.

From the petitions in the file it does not seem that Rama Raya ammayya submitted any petition to the Special Sub Registrar on the visit in 1892.

However Petitions Indexes for 1883 and 1884, 1891 & 1892 have been seen. There is no reference in the subjects of the removal of Kanuri Ika Parameshwari Kalava in the Vol Records as per Peta Indexes of the period above cited

AV 25/8/21

25/8/21. The Genl. Records of the Secty may be examined.

The document req.d seems to be the material evidence in this case, on which the decision of the Board at time rests.

2.9.21

Genl Records

NB

The file is returned with a request to requisition for such of the documents and references that are required for the con-

Board 3/9/21

N B —Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

21 341

G. R. S. III—3.

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record]

I Considered Mr Pitches Paper
the other day. He told me
that these papers are not
available on the file Records,
& that the Vern records
are the Custodians & the place
for the Custody of such papers.
The Vern records have always
stated that no reports are
available in the subject.
Orders are sticked as
& when Mr Mamaraiya
may be definitely asked to
send us any orders available
with him on the subject.
If these papers are not
found necessary, Note
& facts on the existing
material will be submitted
for [illegible]

NB—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, on this form.

W D 1950—GPB—1'50,000—2'5 21

22

G. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Dft. with Secretary

Dft. 23.

BG 23/9/24

Issue

AG 26/9/24

76 4-19-24)

To

Thanjavur Raja

AG. No 1789 dt. 30.9.24

Calls for production of Grants & Govt. mentioned in his letter in original before the 15th Oct. 24

Subs

No reply has been received from the Thanjavur Raja.

The papers which he has been asked to send up, are material documents, on which the disposal of the case rests.

May a registered notice issue to him asking him to

N. B. -Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be pasted, or slips pasted on this form

G. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record]

to produce these documents within a stipulated time and informing him that in case of default the case will be disposed of on merits without these documents

10/4 M/10.4.22

Steps may be taken accordingly

10/4/22

Am. 15/4

manuscript on behalf of Kodagu Rani Rajamaji has produced certain official orders. Among the papers produced, there is an endorsement dated no. 8 28 2-7-92 from the

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

24

G. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record]

P

Dist^t, Inam Office, Bangalore,
Directing the removal
of the Kala Sam fixed
by Sane Komti's of
Bangalore. From a notice
of April 1892 produced,
it is seen that the Caveating
parties were heard before
orders were passed.
It is concluded that
in this connection, it
has been decided that
the temple is a
private institution the
removal leading to
the said Dist^t under
of 1852 trans-

The temple is in
receipt of Rs 4
Grants (vide Inam
Re and it Kanakpur
Canara & Literature

Note notes be written in small slips
must be copied or pasted on

May know.

W 1 50 - CPJ — 150 000 — 2.5.21

25

G. R. S. III—3] 764-19 ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Both allegations have been received from the Dy. Com. & Sessions. for appeal

S. & 12 4.6

Vishnu

11/8

Sl. 15 Nos. 1689-15 Trans. Dy. Com. Requisitions for records. Sl. 16 from

Sl. 16. From, the Dy. Com., Bangalore Dist. Letter no. 867 dy 20.6.1922. Reports that the records leading to the murder of 1892, are not available

N B Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

5271

2 b

G. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record]

either in the Dist. or Police
Office record.
A Off. calling for
register extracts, is subject
for appl.

7.7.22.

Issue
4/7/22

5(17) No 8 July 1922
To the C.C.
Requests to return
the extracts &c

Transferred to the
Court with letter &c

B Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied or slips pasted on this form

W D 1860—GPE—1 50 000 3/5 21

G. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.

Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied or slips pasted on this form

File No.
Serial Nos.

190 .

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

( ) 26 pages

Part A: Manuscript
for

Serial Nos.